

- 1 SKS657-1
- 2 By Representatives Yarbrough, Whorton, Butler, Harrison, Shaw,
- 3 Rehm, Treadaway, Stringer, Pettus, Robertson
- 4 RFD: Judiciary
- 5 First Read: 23-May-23
- 6 2023 Regular Session



1 2

SYNOPSIS:

Under existing law, a person may use physical force, including deadly physical force, to defend himself, herself, or another person from what he or she reasonably believes to be the use or imminent use of unlawful physical force, with exception.

This bill would provide a presumption of reasonableness in regard to an individual's use of physical force to defend himself, herself, or another person.

Under existing law, an individual who uses force that is justified is immune from criminal prosecution and civil action for the use of such force unless the force was determined unlawful.

This bill would provide that a person is immune from criminal prosecution or civil action for the use of justified physical force for self-defense unless the individual against whom force was used was a law enforcement carrying out an official duty or the individual knew or should have known the individual against whom force was used was a law enforcement officer.

Under existing law, a defendant claiming self-defense has the burden of proving, by a preponderance of the evidence, that his or her use of



29	force was reasonable.
30	This bill would shift the burden of proof to the
31	state by requiring a showing by clear and convincing
32	evidence that the defendant's use of force was
33	unreasonable.
34	This bill would also make nonsubstantive,
35	technical revisions to update the existing code
36	language to current style.
37	
38	
39	A BILL
40	TO BE ENTITLED
41	AN ACT
42	
43	Relating to self-defense, to amend Section 13A-3-23,
44	Code of Alabama 1975, to provide a person's use of physical
45	force in defending himself, herself, or another person is
46	presumed reasonable; to further provide for the immunity
47	received by a person whose use of physical force on another
48	person is justified self-defense; to shift the burden of
49	proving a person's use of physical force is not justified to
50	the state; and to make nonsubstantive, technical revisions to
51	update the existing code language to current style.
52	BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF ALABAMA:
53	Section 1. Section 13A-3-23, Code of Alabama 1975, is
54	amended to read as follows:
55	"§13A-3-23
56	(a) A norgan is justified in using physical force upon



- 57 another person in order to defend himself, or a 58 third another person from what he or she reasonably believes 59 to be the use or imminent use of unlawful physical force by 60 that other person, and he or she may use a degree of force which he or she reasonably believes to be necessary for the 61 62 purpose. A person may use deadly physical force, and is 63 legally presumed to be justified in using deadly physical 64 force in self-defense or the defense of another person pursuant to subdivision (5), if the person reasonably believes 65 66 that another person is:
- 67 (1) Using or about to use unlawful deadly physical 68 force.
- 69 (2) Using or about to use physical force against an 70 occupant of a dwelling while committing or attempting to 71 commit a burglary of such dwelling.
- (3) Committing or about to commit a kidnapping in any degree, assault in the first or second degree, burglary in any degree, robbery in any degree, forcible rape, or forcible sodomy.
 - (4) Using or about to use physical force against an owner, employee, or other person authorized to be on business property when the business is closed to the public while committing or attempting to commit a crime involving death, serious physical injury, robbery, kidnapping, rape, sodomy, or a crime of a sexual nature involving a child under the age of 12.
- 83 (5) In the process of unlawfully and forcefully entering, or has unlawfully and forcefully entered, a

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

- 85 dwelling, residence, business property, or occupied vehicle, 86 or federally licensed nuclear power facility, or is in the 87 process of sabotaging or attempting to sabotage a federally 88 licensed nuclear power facility, or is attempting to remove, or has forcefully removed, a person against his or her will 89 90 from any dwelling, residence, business property, or occupied 91 vehicle when the person has a legal right to be there, and 92 provided that the person using the deadly physical force knows 93 or has reason to believe that an unlawful and forcible entry or unlawful and forcible act is occurring. The legal 94 95 presumption that a person using deadly physical force is justified to do so pursuant to this subdivision does not apply 96 97 if in any of the following circumstances:
- 98 a. The person against whom the defensive force is used
 99 has the right to be in or is a lawful resident of the
 100 dwelling, residence, or vehicle, such as an owner or lessee,
 101 and there is not an injunction for protection from domestic
 102 violence or a written pretrial supervision order of no contact
 103 against that person.

104

105

106

107

- b. The person sought to be removed is a child or grandchild, or is otherwise in the lawful custody or under the lawful guardianship of, the person against whom the defensive force is used.
- 108 c. The person who uses defensive force is engaged in an unlawful activity or is using the dwelling, residence, or occupied vehicle to further an unlawful activity; or.
- d. The person against whom the defensive force is used is a law enforcement officer acting in the performance of his



113 or her official duties.

- (b) A person who is justified under subsection (a) in using physical force, including deadly physical force, and who is not engaged in an unlawful activity, and is in any place where he or she has the right to be has no duty to retreat and has the right to stand his or her ground.
- (c) Notwithstanding the provisions of subsection (a), a person is not justified in using physical force if:
 - (1) With intent to cause physical injury or death to another person, he or she provoked the use of unlawful physical force by—such the other person.
 - (2) He or she was the initial aggressor, except that his or her use of physical force upon another person under the circumstances is justifiable if he or she withdraws from the encounter and effectively communicates to the other person his or her intent to do so, but the latter person—nevertheless continues or threatens the use of unlawful physical force.
 - (3) The physical force involved was the product of a combat by agreement not specifically authorized by law.
 - (d) (1) A person who uses <u>physical</u> force, including deadly physical force, as justified and permitted in this section is immune from criminal prosecution and civil action for the use of such force <u>except in any of the following circumstances:</u>, unless the force was determined to be unlawful.
- (1) The person against whom force was used was a law enforcement officer carrying out his or her official duties and the officer identified himself or herself in accordance



141 with applicable laws.

- (2) The person knew or reasonably should have known the individual against whom force was used or threatened was a law enforcement officer carrying out his or her official duties.
- (e) There shall be a presumption of reasonableness under this section that the defendant believed the use of force was necessary to defend himself, herself, or another person from what he or she believed to be the use or imminent use of unlawful force by another person.

case in which a defense is claimed under this section, the court having jurisdiction over the case, upon motion of the defendant, shall conduct a pretrial hearing to determine whether force, including deadly force, used by the defendant was justified or whether it was unlawful under this section. During any pretrial hearing to determine immunity, the defendant state must show by a prependerance of the clear and convincing evidence that he or she the defendant is not immune from criminal prosecution.

(3) (2) If, after a pretrial hearing under subdivision

(2) this subsection, the court concludes that the defendant

state has proven failed to prove by a prependerance of the

clear and convincing evidence that the use of force, including deadly force, was not justified, the court shall enter an order finding the defendant immune from criminal prosecution and dismissing shall dismiss the criminal charges.

(4) (3) If the <u>defendant</u> state does <u>not</u> meet <u>his or her</u>
its burden of proving <u>immunity</u> by clear and convincing



evidence that the use of force, including deadly force, was
not justified at the pre-trial hearing, he or she the state
may continue to pursue the defense of self-defense or defense
of another person the claim at trial.

(4) Once the issue of self-defense or defense of another person has been raised by the defendant, the state continues to bear the burden of proving beyond a reasonable doubt all of the elements of the charged conduct.

(e) (g) A law enforcement agency may use standard procedures for investigating the use of force described in subsection (a), but the agency may not arrest the person for using force unless it determines that there is probable cause that the force used was unlawful."

Section 2. This act shall become effective on the first day of the third month following its passage and approval by the Governor, or its otherwise becoming law.